UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/644,012 | 08/20/2003 | Michael P. Dallmeyer | 2003P14513US | 7010 |
| SIEMENS COI | 7590 06/06/2007 RPORATION | EXAMINER | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830 | | | HWU, DAVIS D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3752 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/06/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/644,012 | DALLMEYER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Davis D. Hwu | 3752 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | OATE OF THIS COI 136(a). In no event, howev will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to | MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| <i>;</i> — | s action is non-fina | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1 | 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 33-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 33-40 are subject to restriction and/or | awn from considera | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin | cepted or b) object or b) objec | n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) | nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: | | | | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/644,012

Art Unit: 3752

Election/Restrictions

The examiner inadvertently overlooked the preliminary amendment of August 20, 1.

2003. Therefore, the allowance of this case is withdrawn and a new office action based

on the preliminary amendment of August 20, 2003 is issued. The examiner apologizes

for the inconvenience.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct 2.

species:

Species 1: claims 33, 35, 39 and 40;

Species 2: claim 34; and

Species 3: claims 36-38.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for 3.

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 33 and 35 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification 4.

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration 5.

of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/644,012

Art Unit: 3752

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 7. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status

Application/Control Number: 10/644,012

Art Unit: 3752

of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

PRIMARY EXAMINER